



Developing Performance Mentoring Handbook



This handbook was written for the Department of Education, Training and Employment
by Dr Lisa Catherine Ehrich, Queensland University of Technology

Foreword

In high-performing organisations, employees take responsibility for developing their own performance and capability.

Through the Department of Education, Training and Employment's *Developing Performance Framework* (DPF), we are building a high-performing organisation aimed at delivering world class education, training and childcare services.

Mentoring is an important part of developing performance. It supports and guides our colleagues through either systemic programs or informal mentoring relationships.

Mentoring is an effective way of sharing skills, helping to build organisational capacity and strong teams. It also forms part of efficient succession-planning strategies.

The *Mentoring Handbook* clarifies the different types of mentoring and is a guide to establishing programs and relationships to optimise learning.

It's a sound reference document and an invaluable practical tool to support mentors and mentees in the workplace.

I believe you will find this handbook useful, whether you are a team leader or team member looking to mentor or to be mentored.

I recommend the *Mentoring Handbook* to all employees as you develop your own performance and support the development of your colleagues.

Yours sincerely



Dr Jim Watterston
Director-General

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
2. What is mentoring?	2
3. Purpose of mentoring.....	4
4. Benefits of mentoring	5
5. Shortcomings of mentoring.....	7
6. Key success factors	9
7. Types of mentoring.....	12
8. Mentoring models.....	16
9. Role of mentor and mentee	18
10. Phases of the mentoring relationship.....	22
11. How to set up a formal mentoring program.....	23
12. References.....	26
13. APPENDICES: Checklists for mentors	28
14. Annotated bibliography: Mentoring.....	39

1. Introduction

Over the last 40 years, the term *mentoring* has been hailed as an important workplace learning activity, and applied in a variety of contexts such as government departments, hospitals, schools and community settings. It has been used to support the learning and development of new employees and leaders, as well as for the purposes of talent management and retention. Not surprisingly, its meaning often depends on the purpose for which it has been used and the particular context in which it has been applied.

Most adults can identify a person who has had a major positive impact on their lives, e.g. a boss, a coach or a teacher, who has acted as a mentor to them. Today, organisations are embracing the concept of mentoring as a professional development tool through which improvements in efficiency, productivity and the passing of corporate knowledge and leadership skills can occur.

The purpose of this handbook

The purpose of this handbook is to provide background understanding regarding the meaning, purpose, features, benefits and practical applications of mentoring. The handbook seeks to clarify the different types of mentoring, and provide guidance on how to establish a formal mentoring program and how to work with a partner in a mentoring relationship.

The handbook is based on, and informed by, research and good mentoring practice. It is likely to be of benefit to all employees in the Department of Education, Training and Employment (DETE) who may find themselves acting as mentors, mentees or both, and thus working with others to develop important skills, knowledge and understanding.

Mentoring is a way of working, embedded informally in our daily operations in the support and guidance we provide to colleagues. It can also be a more formal relationship established in the organisation through specific developmental mentoring programs.

Mentoring is an essential leadership skill and a valuable development option that can be used as part of developing performance and induction processes to help others maximise their capabilities and work effectively.

The *Developing Performance Framework* provides all departmental staff with a process, capability documents and online tools to clarify work priorities, discuss career aspirations and plan support and professional development to continue to build staff capabilities. It aims to promote and maintain a positive workplace culture based on quality conversations about work-related practice and performance.

How to use the handbook

The first five sections of this handbook provide valuable information about the background of mentoring, its purpose, benefits and shortcomings. Sections 6 to 11 provide a discussion of practical issues, including the success features and how to establish formal programs, as well as other important information, e.g. the roles of mentors and mentees and the phases that characterise the relationship. Two mentoring models are included here, and these models reinforce the idea that mentoring can be very different depending on its purpose and type. The model that is promoted in this guide is a developmental type of mentoring that is focused on support and growth.

2. What is mentoring?

The origins of the term

To understand the meaning of mentoring, it is necessary to go back to its origins. The term mentor is attributed to Homer and his epic work, *The Odyssey*. In his story, Odysseus, King of Ithaca, embarks on a decade of travel and adventure, leaving behind his wife and young son, Telemachus. Odysseus instructs his loyal and true servant, Mentor, to look after the royal household and keep a watchful eye over Telemachus. Mentor agrees and acts in *loco parentis*, becoming a father figure, teacher, role model, guide, sounding board and friend to Telemachus. Athene, Goddess of Wisdom, sometimes takes the form of Mentor and provides encouragement and support to Telemachus. From this story, the word ‘mentor’ has come to mean a ‘father figure’ or perhaps a ‘mother figure’ (following Athene’s wisdom and advice) to younger people.

More recent understandings

While the term mentoring has broadened over the years and become part of the language of organisations and staff development, vestiges of its original meaning can be found in contemporary definitions. For example, just as Mentor provided encouragement and support to, and acted as a sounding board for, Telemachus, mentors today play these psycho-social roles (of encouragement and support) when they work and interact with mentees. Somewhat different today is that mentors are not necessarily ‘father figures’ or much older in years than their mentees. In this handbook, the view taken is that mentors tend to be *more experienced* than their mentees, rather than older in years.

There is confusion surrounding the meaning of mentoring because there are so many definitions and so many different types of mentoring written about and practised within organisations. Additionally, there tends to be a lack of boundaries surrounding mentoring, which has led to confusion about how it differs from coaching, counselling and training.

Mentoring differentiated from other developmental practices

Mentoring is defined in this guide as a ‘personal, helping relationship between a mentor and a mentee or protégé that includes professional development and growth and varying degrees of support. While mentoring relationships are reciprocal, mentors tend to be those with greater experience’ (Hansford et al. 2003, p. 5). This definition was developed from the work of Hansford et al., who examined 159 pieces of research on mentoring in educational contexts. Based on their analysis, Hansford et al. arrived at this definition. ‘Mentoring tends to be broader and more holistic in focus than coaching as it is not only interested in ‘maximiz[ing] ... performance’ (Whitmore 2002), but concerned with the person’s overall life development. Mentors are significant others who play many roles and, at times, they can be coach, counsellor and trainer.’

‘**Coaching** is (the process of) unlocking people’s potential to maximise their own performance. It is helping them to learn rather than teaching them.’ (Whitmore, 2009, p. 10) Like mentoring, it can be understood in a number of ways, as there are many types of, and approaches to, coaching. Examples of coaching include the expert coach as well as the peer coach.

Section 2

Expert coaches facilitate learning and skill development in particular areas of expertise. They possess not only coaching skills, but also sound knowledge and practice of the specialist area.

Peer coaches are often of comparable abilities and level, observing and providing feedback and support to each other in a mutual learning situation (Zeus & Skiffington, 2002).

With the exception of the expert coach, '[c]oaching requires expertise in coaching but not in the subject at hand (Whitmore, 2009, p. 14). Common to all varieties of coaching is the process of asking questions and exploring solutions to issues within complex work environments.

Counselling is a process conducted by counsellors or registered psychologists who address psychological issues and disorders. Mentors play the role of counsellor when they provide special types of support to others who find themselves in stressful or difficult circumstances. According to Clutterbuck (2004a), mentors who counsel listen, provide emotional support, act as sounding boards, and help mentees to take responsibility for their own actions. In her typology of mentoring, Kram (1985) refers to psycho-social support as including counselling, friendship and various types of interpersonal support. It is important that mentors are aware when professional counselling is required, and refer the mentee on to a trained professional.

Training is a structured process of teaching whereby a trainer focuses on developing the skills, knowledge and attitudes required to complete a task or perform a job. Training as a direct form of instruction can sometimes constitute coaching and mentoring.



3. Purpose of mentoring

While mentoring is an interpersonal relationship, its purpose is likely to depend on whether the organisation has instituted a mentoring program or whether the mentoring relationship is more informal. In **formal mentoring programs**, the purpose of mentoring is likely to be articulated in a set of guidelines or via training that is provided for both parties, where they are informed of the goals and purposes of the program. As an example, the purpose of a formal mentoring program for beginning teachers might be to help new teachers develop their teaching strategies and skills, become socialised into the school's values and culture, and develop a good working knowledge of school policies and procedures.

In contrast, in **informal mentoring arrangements**, the parties may not have any set goals or specific expectations except to get together informally and discuss work-based issues as they arise. The purpose of the relationship may change depending on the needs of either party. Whether the mentoring relationship is organisationally driven or informal and more personally driven, it is likely that the overall purpose of the relationship will be for both parties to learn, engage in knowledge transfer, and support one another's development and growth.



4. Benefits of mentoring

A strong message in much of the literature is that mentoring is a very positive experience. An important scholar in the field, Clutterbuck (2004a), goes as far as saying ‘I have yet to find anyone who is self-sufficient enough not to benefit from a mentor at some point in his or her life’. (p. 7). Yet mentoring is not without its ‘dark side’ (Long 1997), and there is research that has reported on the risks and shortcomings of mentoring relationships.

Benefits of mentoring: what the research says

There have been many benefits associated with mentoring for mentees, mentors and the organisation. To discover the outcomes of mentoring, Hansford et al. analysed and coded 159 pieces of research on mentoring in education contexts (i.e. schools, universities, TAFEs) to determine the benefits and the shortcomings for the mentee, mentor and the organisation. What appears in the table below is a list of the eight most frequently cited benefits of mentoring in order of frequency.

Table 1: Benefits of mentoring

Benefits for mentees	Benefits for mentors	Benefits for the organisation
Support, encouragement, friendship	Collegiality, collaboration, networking	Improved education, grades, behaviour of students
Help with teaching strategies/ subject knowledge	Reflection	Support, funds for school
Discussing, sharing ideas	Professional development	Contributes to/good for profession
Feedback, constructive criticism	Personal satisfaction, reward/ growth	Less work for principals or staff
Increased self-confidence	Interpersonal skill development	Retention/continuity of staff
Career affirmation, advancement, commitment	Enjoyment, stimulation, challenge	More effective school leadership
Observing a role model	Improved, revitalised teaching/ practice	Improved communication/ partnerships with higher education
Reflection	Role satisfaction	Good PR for schools

(Taken from Hansford, B. C., Tennent, L. & Ehrich, L. C. (2003). Educational mentoring: Is it worth the effort? *Education Research and Perspectives*, 39(1), pp. 42–75. <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/archive/00002259/>)

Benefits for mentees

Hansford et al. (2003) found that benefits for mentees included psycho-social supportive outcomes such as support, encouragement, friendship, role modelling and increased confidence. For teachers, they also included the development of teaching strategies and subject knowledge, as well as the opportunity to learn and develop through discussion and sharing ideas, reflection on their practice, and feedback and constructive criticism. Mentoring benefited mentees by having their career affirmed and enabled them to commit to their profession.

Benefits for mentors

The research studies that Hansford et al. (2003) examined found several beneficial outcomes for mentors. The most frequently cited benefit was collegiality, collaboration and networking. In some cases, this related to the benefit of cross-fertilisation of ideas and the opportunity to exchange ideas. Other outcomes related to mentoring include providing opportunities for reflection on mentors' practice and professional development. Mentors in the sample referred to improvement in their interpersonal skills and teaching practice. Moreover, mentors referred to satisfaction in their role, personal satisfaction, and enjoyment and challenge in their work.

Benefits for the organisation

Of the 159 research papers Hansford et al. analysed, only 26 papers (16.4% of the sample) alluded to positive outcomes for the organisation. Most research that examines mentoring tends to look at its benefits from the point of view of the two main parties involved: the mentee and the mentor. The most frequently cited outcome regarding benefits for the organisation was improved grades, and this was evident in universities where students' grades were seen to improve if they were part of a formal mentoring program, and schools where outcomes for students improved if they were part of mentoring programs. Other benefits included support or funds received for mentoring such as funding for pre-service teachers; good for the profession and good for PR; less work for staff because mentees provide help; increased retention of staff; and better communication between parties.

Organisationally, mentoring addresses psycho-social functions in the workplace, e.g. how best to behave, workplace values, personal dilemmas, and a sense of acceptance by the group (Harvard Business Essentials, 2004 p. 86). As a key organisational strategy, mentoring can be viewed as desirable and attractive by potential employees. It can also increase organisational learning and retention of employees.

5. Shortcomings of mentoring

Table 2: Shortcomings of mentoring

Shortcomings for mentees	Shortcomings for mentors	Shortcomings for the organisation
Lack of mentor time	Lack of time	Cost of implementing programs
Professional expertise/personality mismatch	Professional expertise/personality mismatch	Lack of partnership
Mentors critical/out of touch/defensive/stifling/untrusting	Lack training/understanding program goals/expectations	
Difficulty meeting/ observing/ being observed	Extra burden/responsibility	
Lack mentor support/ guidance/ knowledge sharing/feedback	Frustration with mentee performance/attitude/lack commitment/trust	
Lack mentor training/ understanding program goals/ needs	Conflicting mentor role – advice versus assessment	
Lack of mentor interest/ commitment/initiative	Lack support/resources/ encourage/interest from others	
Ineffective/inappropriate advice/ modelling	Emotionally draining/stressful	

(Taken from Hansford, B. C., Tennent, L. & Ehrich, L. C. (2003). Educational mentoring: Is it worth the effort? *Education Research and Perspectives*, 39(1), pp. 42–75. <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/archive/00002259/>)

Shortcomings of mentoring for mentees

The most frequently cited shortcoming of mentoring for mentees was that their mentors lacked time to mentor them adequately. Professional expertise/personality mismatch referred to differences in philosophy/ideology and sometimes knowledge, and these mismatches caused tension in the relationship. Other shortcomings referred to problematic behaviours of mentors such as: mentors who were critical; who failed to provide guidance or feedback; who failed to understand the goals of the program; who lacked commitment; and who provided ineffective or inadequate advice.

Shortcomings of mentoring for mentors

Similar to shortcomings experienced by mentees, mentors indicated lack of time to mentor and a mismatch between professional expertise/personality as the two most frequent problems they faced. Mentors also indicated that mentoring was problematic when there was a lack of training or understanding about the goals of the program, and when mentees were difficult because of poor attitude or commitment. Mentors pointed to other difficulties, such as mentoring as an extra burden or responsibility, and as an emotionally draining or stressful experience. The researchers also found that mentorship was problematic when there were insufficient resources or encouragement from others, or when mentors felt conflict between their role of developing mentees and their role of assessing them.

Shortcomings of mentoring for the organisation

A very small number of the studies (14 or 8.8% of the sample) made mention of shortcomings of mentoring for the organisation. Only two outcomes were identified in more than one study, and these were the costs of implementing effective programs and lack of partnerships. Costs of implementing programs referred to inadequate funding required to carry out mentoring programs. Lack of partnerships referred to mentoring in schools where there was a lack of partnership between the school and the university.



6. Key success factors

There is no magic formula for making mentoring relationships or mentoring programs work effectively. What is known through the research is that the effectiveness of any mentoring relationship is contingent on the quality of the relationship between the two parties. Where there is mutuality, respect and knowledge transfer, the mentoring is likely to work well. Where there is little mutuality and a mismatch in expectations, this is a recipe for difficulty. Several success factors are discussed here.

Time and commitment

Time and commitment are essential for mentoring relationships. A lack of time signals a 'lack of commitment', which is highly problematic in an interpersonal developmental relationship such as mentoring.

Compatibility (rapport)

In their analysis of a sizeable body of research on mentoring in educational contexts, Hansford et al. (2003) found that a personality/professional mismatch in the mentoring relationship is problematic. In other words, when there is little mutuality or compatibility between the partners because of differences of personality or worldview, mentoring is unlikely to work. This key finding points to the need to ensure that formal mentoring programs provide some choice for participants about the person with whom they will work. By giving participants choice, it minimises the problem of lack of compatibility or lack of rapport. In informal mentoring arrangements, lack of compatibility tends not to be an issue since both parties volunteer to pursue the relationship, and in some cases know one another, and are happy to work together.

Training

Much of the research that has evaluated formal mentoring programs identifies the central place of training for mentors and mentees. The purpose of training is to provide participants with valuable information about the purpose of the program, the goals and objectives, and the roles and responsibilities of the parties. Moreover, the training component often provides skills development for mentors to hone their communication, listening and feedback skills. Without an understanding of the purpose and goals of the program (usually explored during training), the mentoring program is unlikely to work effectively.

Ethical conduct

Ethical conduct is expected of government employees when they are performing their duties, and when they are involved in professional development activities such as mentoring.

The Code of Conduct for the Queensland Public Service outlines the ethics principles and associated set of values identified in the *Public Sector Ethics Act 1994*. Four ethical principles that are part of the code of conduct are:

1. integrity and impartiality
2. promoting the public good

3. commitment to the system of government
4. accountability and transparency.

Clutterbuck (2004a) argues that organisations should provide a set of ethical guidelines or a code of practice to govern the conduct of mentors and mentees who are engaged in formal mentoring programs. Within this code, he stipulates key dimensions such as a relationship that is based on openness, trust, support and mutuality. The relationship should empower the mentee, and the mentor should not abuse or use his or her power in an exploitative way. In informal mentoring arrangements, guidelines or codes of practice are unlikely to be part of the discussions between the parties. However, the dimensions identified by Clutterbuck (2004a) are also important for them.

Matching: sameness or diversity?

Some formal mentoring programs have been introduced by organisations to address affirmative action legislation. For example, formal mentoring programs that target women, Aboriginal peoples and Torres Strait Islander peoples, and members of equal employment opportunity groups are quite commonplace, and are designed to provide mentoring opportunities for these groups since the research and practice show quite clearly that they tend to be overlooked in informal relationships.

An issue that is sometimes raised in relation to mentoring programs that target particular groups is whether the mentors should be members of the same group for whom the mentoring is targeted or different? For example, should an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander teacher be mentored by an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander teacher? Should a male teacher be mentored by a female teacher?

Arguments for same group mentoring for members of target groups:

- if support or nurturing is the main purpose of the mentoring relationship, then a mentor from the same target group might be best placed to be mentor
- mentors from the same target group are likely to be better role models.

Arguments against same group mentoring for members of target groups:

- there may be insufficient people from a target group who are in a position to mentor others (e.g. there may not be enough Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander school leaders available to mentor Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander aspiring leaders, or senior women leaders able to mentor junior women leaders)
- there are many advantages to being mentored by people from different groups who are likely to offer alternative perspectives on issues.

Clawson and Kram (1984) argue that both parties need to define the boundaries around which they will work, and maintain professional behaviour at all times. The key to matching seems to be the issue of choice — and where mentors and mentees have a say in determining their partner, it is likely that issues such as lack of compatibility or lack of mutuality will be lessened.

Locus of power

In mentoring relationships, there is a need to negotiate issues of power. Who controls or should control the power in a mentoring relationship? Who sets the goals? Who leads the conversations? Is it the mentor or the mentee? The more formal the program, the more likely that the overall goals and aims will be both predetermined and articulated in official organisational documentation. Yet even within a formal program, Clutterbuck (2004a) argues that mentors should work to empower mentees and allow them to identify their own needs and become increasingly independent. He says that mentors should respond to mentees' developmental needs, and the mentee should accept increasing responsibility for managing the relationship.



7. Types of mentoring

Writers in the field of mentoring make an important distinction between different types of mentoring arrangements. Two of these are ‘informal’ and ‘formal’ mentoring. Another important distinction is to see mentoring as being carried out by one’s peers, as in peer mentoring, or more traditionally by a senior or more experienced colleague, as in traditional mentoring. An increasingly important type of mentoring that has emerged over the last 10 years is e-mentoring, which uses e-technology to enable mentors and mentees to communicate.

Informal mentoring

Informal mentoring occurs when two people engage in a mentoring relationship without any intervention or guidance from the organisation (Clutterbuck 2004b). Traditionally, mentors sought up-and-coming talented charges to develop, provided them with guidance and sponsorship, and ‘opened doors’ for them in their respective fields. This type of mentoring still takes place today. It is also not unusual for mentees or protégés to seek out a powerful mentor who will provide this type of sponsorship and guidance. Primarily, informal mentoring can be best understood when two people who work in a similar or related field find they have mutual interests and decide to work together. Thus an informal relationship occurs.

The key defining feature of informal mentoring (as opposed to formal mentoring) goes back to Clutterbuck’s (2004b) point that informal mentoring occurs without any assistance or intervention from organisations.

Advantages of informal mentoring

According to Clutterbuck (2004a):

- people who are informally mentored tend to be more satisfied than those who are in formal mentor relationships
- informal mentors are ‘there’ because they want to be; informal mentoring is voluntary
- longevity, greater commitment and motivation are features of this type of mentoring.

Disadvantages of informal mentoring

A disadvantage of informal mentoring is that not everyone who wishes to be mentored is chosen by a mentor.

- Clutterbuck (2004a) uses the term, ‘social exclusion’ to explain the phenomenon of not being selected by an informal mentor.
- Rosabeth Kanter (1977) in her ethnographic study of men and women in one large corporation in America described a type of sponsorship mentoring, whereby male managers were those who sponsored, mentored or developed other males (and not females) in the organisation. Since the time of her research, other studies have shown that not only women, but also members of minority groups (e.g. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples and those with disabilities) find it challenging to be part of informal mentoring arrangements.

Formal mentoring

‘Formal mentoring occurs where the organisation provides support structures to ensure that participants have clarity of purpose and the support they may need to make a success of the relationship’ (Clutterbuck 2004b). It is an interventionist strategy modelled on the processes and activities of informal mentoring, used by organisations as a means of providing staff with development and support. Unlike traditional or informal mentoring models that are centuries old, formal mentoring programs emerged in the 1970s.

Since the first formal program was introduced in the United States, many countries worldwide have implemented particular types of mentoring programs, and today, formal mentoring programs are commonplace in hospitals, schools, public sector departments, corporations, universities, community organisations and the armed forces. These programs have been designed for many purposes such as induction (e.g. new teachers, graduate programs); leadership development (e.g. new school principals, senior executives) and affirmative action (e.g. helping target groups such as youth at risk, and members of minority groups). Common to formal programs is support, learning and growth, skill development, and improved confidence.

Advantages of formal mentoring:

- social inclusion purposes
- such arrangements tend to be more focused and structured
- specific goals of the program are known to all parties.

Disadvantages of formal mentoring:

- both parties take longer to develop a relationship
- not always voluntary, and time pressures become more evident.

Formal or informal?

Clutterbuck (2004b) suggests that the line dividing formal from informal mentoring is not always clear. He refers to ‘grey areas’:

- where people within a mentoring program have choice regarding with whom to work
- where a trained mentor within a mentoring program lets the mentee know he/she is interested in mentoring him/her
- where the mentee within a mentoring program informs HR if appropriate, and approaches the mentor he/she would like to work with.

Informal mentoring	Formal mentoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • less structured than formal and more fluid • more likely to meet the mentee’s individual needs • likely to be of a longer time duration than formal mentoring 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • there is a timeframe so that limits are defined • goals are more overt and visible • more strategic approach to human resource development since mentoring is part of the organisation’s overall approach

Peer-mentoring and group mentoring

Traditionally, mentoring, whether formal or informal, has involved two people: a mentor and a protégé/mentee. Yet in more recent times, there have been many variations on this theme. **Peer mentoring** tends to involve two people of the same level or status who work together to support one another. **Group mentoring** can be viewed and practised in a variety of ways depending on the mixture of people who form it. For example, group mentoring includes:

1. a group of peers who work together and support each other
2. one mentor who works with a group of mentees
3. multiple mentors who work with multiple mentees, and all of these people are connected in one group.

The key feature of peer mentoring and group mentoring is that everyone involved works together to learn from and support each other. Unlike traditional mentoring where there is a more experienced person, a mentor, who works alongside the mentee, peer mentoring and group mentoring tend to be construed as more egalitarian in focus and involves a community of participants.

Advantages of peer mentoring and group mentoring:

- it provides mutual support, learning and friendship
- non-hierarchical approach
- viewed as being particularly relevant for some groups, such as women, who may feel more comfortable working with peers (Hermsen et al. 2011)
- been used in a variety of contexts such as education, medicine and business.

Disadvantages of peer mentoring and group mentoring:

- peers may not have the expertise or skills to provide career support and career functions that can lead to particular types of outcomes for mentees (McManus & Russell 2007)
- peer mentoring may focus more on friendship and psycho-social support, rather than provide learning which is or should be a key feature of mentoring.

E-mentoring

In these times of increasing technological change and electronic communication, it is not surprising that web-based technology is being used to assist with mentoring and mentoring programs (Fletcher 2012). E-mentoring relies on computer-mediated communication (CMC) such as email and other electronic communication technologies to enable the mentoring to take place. E-mentoring is an approach that can be used in formal or informal mentoring arrangements, for traditional mentoring, or for various types of peer mentoring or group mentoring. E-mentoring is understood in terms of the degree to which CMCs are used. Ensher and colleagues (in Ensher & Murphy 2007, pp. 300–301) identify three:

1. *CMC only* refers to relationships in which ALL communication is electronic and this is usually via email.

Section 7

2. *CMC primary* refers to relationships which are primarily mediated through CMC, but there are some face-to-face meetings and phone calls.
3. *CMC supplemented* is where the relationship is undertaken primarily via face-to-face, and some interactions are mediated by CMC.

Example of e-mentoring program (that uses traditional mentoring and group mentoring)

In 2004, the European Union funded a program that supported women's career and management development in the United Kingdom. It involved 122 women participants who were matched in pairs. The program was designed so that the majority of interactions were online, but complemented by telephone and face-to-face meetings. In addition to each pair communicating with one another, a feature of the program was group mentoring, as each pair was allocated to one of six groups, and this meant that all of the participants could engage in online mentoring discussions as a group. Overseeing the technical side of the program was an e-moderator who provided advice and technical support to participants during the program.

(Adapted from: Headlam-Wells, J., Gosland, J., & Craig, J. (2005). 'There's magic in the web': E-mentoring for women's career development. *Career Development International*, 10(6/7), pp. 444–459. <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/journals.htm?articleid=1524127>)

Advantages of e-mentoring:

- elimination of geographical distances
- greater flexibility in scheduling
- reduced costs in administering (i.e. cheaper than face-to-face)
- may attract persons who find it difficult to access a mentor via face-to-face means.

Disadvantages of e-mentoring:

- if using text-based communication methods (e.g. email), there is the possibility of misinterpretation or miscommunication due to asynchronous communication
- may take a longer time to develop trust and rapport in the relationship
- computer or internet malfunctions
- different degrees of competence in writing (Ensher & Colleagues 2003, in Ensher & Murphy 2007).

8. Mentoring models

There are many models and theories that have been put forward to explain the mentoring process and the functions played by mentors. The great diversity in models and theories explains why there are so many ways of defining and understanding mentoring. The discussion that follows presents two well-known models of mentoring. The first is a model devised by Daloz (2012), and was developed within the context of mentoring in community college environments when community college lecturers work with their community college adult students. The second model comes from Kram (1985), whose work came from her empirical research that investigated mentoring relationships within organisational contexts.

Daloz: A developmental model

Daloz's (2012) model demonstrates that optimal learning in a mentoring relationship (between a teacher of adults and adult learners) occurs when two key constructs are said to be apparent. These constructs are **challenge** and **support**, as in the diagram below.

High challenge	High challenge + low support = retreat	High challenge + high support = growth
Low challenge	Low challenge + low support = stasis	Low challenge + high support = confirmation
	Low support	High support

Adapted from Daloz, L. (2012). *Mentor: Guiding the journey of adult learners*. Wiley: New York, p. 208.

Daloz (2012) argues there are four possible ways of understanding learning outcomes for an adult learner (or mentee), and these relate to the key functions performed by the mentor: **challenge** and **support**.

- By challenge, Daloz (2012) referred to stretching the mentee — questioning, providing thoughtful questions that have the effect of encouraging the mentee to question his/her values, beliefs and behaviours.
- By support, Daloz (2012) referred to psycho-social support such as listening, encouraging, being a sounding board and being there for the mentee.

Daloz (2012) claims that when:

- a mentor provides low support and low challenge for his/her mentee, then little learning is likely to occur from that relationship (he refers to this as **stasis**, since not much change occurs)
- support is low, but challenge is high, the learner is likely to **retreat** from development
- support is high, but challenge is low, the potential for **growth** increases, but the learner may not engage productively with the environment, and therefore he/she may not move beyond his/her present situation. Daloz refers to this as **confirmation**.

Daloz argues that **high challenge** and **high support** is the combination where development is likely to occur to the greatest extent. He coined this as **growth**.

Kram: A sponsoring model

Kram's (1985) seminal work on mentoring is well-cited in the expansive literature on mentoring. Based on empirical research conducted in the 1980s on mentoring dyads, she found that mentoring is an interpersonal relationship where a senior person supports a junior colleague by attending to two broad functions: career development and psycho-social support. Career development includes functions such as sponsorship, coaching, protection, exposure, visibility and challenging work assignments, while psycho-social support includes friendship, advice, feedback and encouragement. Kram's model of mentoring fits mainly within a traditional model of mentoring, where the mentor is deemed an expert who uses his or her power to promote the career development and knowledge of a protégé.

Kram's model of mentoring has been described as sponsoring mentoring, since an emphasis in the relationship is on the advice, guidance and expertise provided by the mentor to the protégé (Clutterbuck 2004a, 2007). Mentors not only develop protégés; they act as significant people who open doors for them, and in many cases, provide them with the resources they need to gain promotion. In contrast is developmental mentoring, where mentoring is viewed as a developmental type of activity (Clutterbuck 2004a, 2007). Within developmental mentoring, learning is central to the process, and the emphasis is not so much on the power of the mentor to open doors for the protégé, but on the relational, power-sharing interchange between the mentor and protégé, where both parties are able to benefit. The model by Daloz fits within the developmental perspective because it views mentoring as a relational activity, where the mentee is both supported and challenged in order to bring about learning. Kram's model is deemed more hierarchical than Daloz's.



9. Role of mentor and mentee

Mentor and mentee roles and functions vary according to the type of mentoring that is being used. For example, a sponsoring mentor is more likely to use his or her influence to ensure that a mentee accesses certain resources or key outcomes. Here, the mentee is likely to be passive in this situation. In contrast, a mentor who plays a more developmental role is likely to play a variety of roles that empower a mentee to grow in self-resourcefulness (Clutterbuck 2003, p. 259). Hence, the type of mentoring relationship that is apparent will determine the roles played by both parties.

Role of mentor

Mentoring that is developmental in nature focuses on the twin functions of support and challenge, as identified by Daloz's (1986) and Clutterbuck's (2004a) work. Cohen (1999) builds on these researchers' work and identifies six core interpersonal functions or roles that mentors perform:

1. Relationship emphasis involves psycho-social functions such as:
 - encouragement
 - active and empathetic listening
 - understanding and acceptance
 - creating a climate of trust with one's mentee (Cohen 1999, p. ix).
2. Facilitative focus refers to behaviours whereby the mentor guides the mentee to identify and explore their views, interests and beliefs. Its aim is to assist mentees to consider alternatives (Cohen 1999, p. ix). For example, mentors ask mentees *what if* questions, and questions that help them identify their assumptions.
3. Mentor model focus involves the process of self-disclosing work and relevant life experiences to the mentee to personalise the relationship (Cohen, 1999, p. x). Cohen (1999, p. 94) explains that mentors can achieve this in a number of ways, such as talking to the mentee about one's own difficult decisions and experiences and using real-life examples.
4. Confrontive focus involves skills required to challenge the mentee's explanations and ideas by offering insights regarding their need to re-evaluate their beliefs, assumptions and practices, and take a different approach (Cohen 1999, p. 75). Confrontive focus is akin to the function of 'challenge' as identified by Daloz (2012) and Clutterbuck (2004a).
5. Information emphasis involves seeking detailed information from, or providing detailed information to, the mentee. Being aware of some basic facts about the mentee's purpose should help the mentor gain a better understanding of the mentee, and be in a better position to meet his/her needs (Cohen 1999, p. ix). Cohen (1999, p. 50) gives the example of mentors asking questions that gain factual answers, and probing questions that reveal in-depth understandings.
6. Mentee vision function concerns stimulating mentees to think critically about their goals and to envision a future where these goals can be achieved (Cohen 1999, p. x). Cohen says that mentors encourage mentees to actively pursue their dreams.

Questioning: A key mentoring technique

Like coaches, mentors use questions to help mentees:

- identify and describe problems, situations, events
- reflect on their feelings, assumptions and beliefs
- identify alternatives and options they may not have considered
- come to new understandings about themselves and the issues at hand.

Writers in the field of mentoring (e.g. Hargrove 2003; Stanfield 2000) maintain that:

- conversations between mentors and mentees should be planned
- a large part of the planning is to prepare well-constructed questions to ask mentees.

Key levels of questioning

According to Stanfield (2000), there are four levels of questions:

(1) Objective level — questions based on facts and data and viewed as ‘external’ to the mind.

These are seen as ‘what’ questions.

- Where are you up to?
- What work have you done since I saw you last?
- With whom have you been working?
- What have you achieved since we talked last?
- What are your observations?
- What words or phrases stood out for you?

(2) Reflective level — questions that invite personal reaction to the data/facts presented.

Sometimes the responses can be based on feelings. Questions viewed here are considered ‘internal’ — questions that relate to ‘gut feelings’.

- What has been the response of others to the work you have done?
- What have you enjoyed doing the most?
- What have you enjoyed doing the least?
- How are you feeling about things now?
- What surprised you?
- What’s missing for you?
- What were you reminded of?

(3) Interpretive level — questions that draw out meanings, values, beliefs and the significance of the issue. Questions here are concerned with: ‘what does this mean?’; ‘why’? Sometimes these questions are referred to as ‘so what’ questions.

- What have you learned about yourself, others, so far?
- What are some issues you continue to worry about? Why?
- What alternatives are you thinking about for dealing with some of the ongoing challenges?
- What makes x important?

Section 9

- What implications are there for you?
- What might be the impact of ...?

(4) Decisional level — questions that elicit resolution, new directions and actions. This is where the conversation is brought to a close and decisions are made — sometimes referred to as ‘now what’ questions:

- What are you going to do next?
- What is your plan and how are you going to achieve it?
- Can I help and if so how?
- Are things moving in the right direction for you?
- What recommendations do you have?
- What can you do differently in the future?
- What have you learned from this?

Effective mentors are those people who ask questions that require their mentee to:

- provide objective/factual data
- reflect on their feelings and ideas
- interpret issues and therefore identify meanings and significance
- make informed decisions based on a range of factors.

Stanfield, R. B. (2000). *The art of focused conversation: 100 ways to access group wisdom in the workplace*.

Role of mentee

Much of the writing in the field refers primarily to the role played by the mentor; yet the mentee must also play his or her part and be a willing and active participant in the dance of mentoring. Some key roles (taken from Clutterbuck 2004a; Johnson & Huwe 2003; Tovey 1999) include:

- developing the relationship with respect, openness and trust
- setting personal goals
- communicating directly and honestly
- having clear expectations of the mentoring relationship and process
- being willing to share interests, views and beliefs with the mentor and bring issues forward for discussion
- being prepared to have one’s beliefs and values challenged and to challenge the mentor
- being ready to accept increasing responsibility for managing the mentoring relationship.

Should the mentor be the mentee’s team leader/supervisor?

It is possible that line managers can mentor staff. However, tensions can emerge for line managers who simultaneously endeavour to play the role of mentor and manager, since managers, by and large, are responsible for staff performance and appraisal, whereas mentors are responsible for a person’s overall development (which goes beyond their work performance). As an example, a tension that could arise is that a manager who mentors (by providing a great deal of psycho-social support and learning opportunities for an employee) could be accused of

Section 9

favouritism by other employees who may not be receiving the same type of relationship. Another tension is that a worker may not feel comfortable sharing his or her concerns about difficulties handling the job with his or her manager/mentor because this concern could be construed as poor performance, and could impact unfavourably on the worker's employment. Writers in the field argue that it is best for a mentor NOT to be a person's line manager or direct supervisor; a mentor should be a person who is either outside the work unit or, if inside, not in a direct reporting line.

Manager role	Mentor role
Performance appraisal	Helping the learner develop insights into causes of poor performance
Finds opportunities to stretch the learner's performance	Challenges learner's thinking and assumptions
Agreed developmental goals within learner's current job	Helps learners to manage the integration of job, career and personal goals

(Adapted from Clutterbuck 2004a, p. 77)

In DE TE, team leaders/supervisors work with staff to help them achieve their goals and contribute to the strategic priorities of the organisation. For example, the *Developing Performance Framework* provides a process for supervisors/team leaders to work with staff to plan and achieve their goals. While supervisors/team leaders are focused on staff members' work-based performance via individual development plans and the four phase developing performance process, mentors can assist mentees to reach specific goals, as well as help them to manage the integration of job, career and personal goals (Clutterbuck 2004a).



10. Phases of the mentoring relationship

There can be great diversity in the way in which mentoring is experienced within formal programs and informal mentoring relationships. For instance, formal mentoring programs have a start and finish date, and are designed to take place over a set period of time, such as a year or 18 months, whereas informal mentoring relationships are not constructed in this way; they evolve and can take place over several years depending on the needs of the party. Regardless of the type of mentoring relationship employed, researchers and writers in the field maintain that mentoring relationships tend to be characterised by a number of important milestones or phases.

Kram (1985), Missirian (1982), Levinson et al. (1978) and Rolfe-Flett (2002) have referred to specific phases or stages that characterise a mentoring relationship:

- **Initial** phase — the parties get to know one another and build the relationship that both deem very important.
- **Cultivation** or **development** phase — both parties benefit from the relationship, with learning and growth strongly present.
- **Termination** or **separation** phase — the relationship ends and contact decreases. Sometimes the separation is not amicable, and the work of many researchers, including Levinson et al. (1978) who found that relationships can end in resentment, bitterness, pain and anger.

Rolfe-Flett (2002) notes another important phase called ‘redefinition’. She explains that this sometimes occurs at the end of the relationship, when both parties choose to work together, but with different expectations. For example, both parties might decide to work as ‘peers’ rather than as mentor and mentee because the mentee may have developed the requisite skills. Redefinition, then, signals a new type of relationship (Mullen & Schunk 2012).

The period of time that each mentoring dyad takes to undergo any of these phases is likely to depend on a variety of factors such as the type of mentoring that is used (i.e. formal or informal), as well as the readiness of the mentee and the motivation, goals and personality of both parties. Due to the developmental nature of mentoring relationships, they are unlikely to stay the same; they will evolve and change and, in most cases, they will end. An effective mentoring relationship is one where both parties feel satisfied that the journey has been rewarding and worthwhile.

11. How to set up a formal mentoring program

Many public and private organisations incorporate mentoring programs or some type of mentoring arrangement for new employees as part of their induction process. DETE embraces mentoring and coaching as key strategies to support staff during induction and developing performance processes, as well as other phases during their working life, with the aim of improving staff performance. So how does one go about setting up a formal program? What follows is a discussion of nine steps that developers of formal mentoring programs need to consider when planning and implementing a formal mentoring program. These steps are equally applicable to establishing a workplace or department-based mentoring program.

1. Appoint a coordinator

In most programs, there is a coordinator who oversees the development and implementation of a program. This person is sometimes responsible for:

- gaining endorsement and funding for the program
- publicising and promoting the program
- matching mentors and mentees
- monitoring relationships and handling grievances
- contracting external facilitators (if required)
- managing the budget.

2. Articulation of goals/objectives of the program

- There needs to be a clear statement of the goals, objectives and purposes of the program.
- The program should be linked to the organisational system (e.g. induction, performance development).
- Senior management should support the program (in terms of resources and favourable attitude).

3. Timelines

- Decisions need to be made about the timeframe of the program during the planning phases.
- The timeframe will depend on the goals and purpose of the program.
- The duration of programs can vary from six months to one year, or even two years.

4. Clear roles and responsibilities of both parties

- Roles, responsibilities and expectations of both parties need to be known and understood.
- Some formal programs encourage mentoring dyads to formalise their agreed goals and sign a formal agreement (see Appendix G: Sample mentor agreements).

5. Training of mentors

- Most organisations provide some training for both mentors and mentees.
- Training should cover information about the purpose of the program, benefits of mentoring, and practical skills required.
- Decisions need to be made about who will provide the training, and the duration of the training.

6. Participants

- Who will participate in the program will depend on the purpose and objectives of the program.
- Participation should be voluntary, although in some formal programs, this does not always happen, and it is compulsory for mentees to participate. Where possible, mentors should be volunteers.

7. Matching of mentors and mentees

- According to Rolfe-Flett (2002), there are two main matching methods:
 1. matchmaking — where a coordinator chooses who works with whom based on biographical data both parties prepare
 2. a selection process — where mentors and mentees are given some choice regarding the person with whom they will work.
- Many organisations use an approach that mixes methods 1 and 2, where both parties either meet in person or read biographical data, and select the person with whom they wish to work on that basis. The coordinator helps to facilitate the matching.
- Some organisations ask mentees to nominate up to five potential mentors with whom they would like to work. This information is plotted on a matrix and then the coordinator would try to allocate mentees' their first or second choice.

	Mentor 1	Mentor 2	Mentor 3	Mentor 4	Mentor 5
Mentee 1	1	5	2	3	4
Mentee 2	1	5	3	4	2
Mentee 3	4	1	5	2	3
Mentee 4	5	4	3	1	2
Mentee 5	3	2	4	5	1

8. Get-out clause

- In a mentoring program, the coordinator is usually the person who provides ongoing support to mentors and mentees if they are having mentoring relationship difficulties.
- In most organisations, there is a get-out clause stating that the mentoring relationship can be terminated without blame if it is not working effectively.
- In this event the coordinator can then begin a new matching process for the mentee or mentor.

9. Monitoring/evaluation

- A key component of any mentoring program is monitoring and evaluation.
- Monitoring helps resolve issues as they emerge.
- A formal evaluation is required to evaluate all aspects of the program (e.g. planning, training, matching, outcomes).
- Some methods which could assist in the evaluation include interviews, focus groups, and surveys of mentors, mentees, the coordinator, the trainers and other important parties.

If planning a formal e-mentoring program, the aforementioned nine steps will be important. However, there will be other considerations and decisions to make such as:

- What degree of CMC will be used?
- What type of technology will be used and supported?
- Who will oversee and coordinate the website or technology?
- Will there be an e-moderator?
- Will group mentoring form part of the overall design of the program?

How to find a mentee or mentor

If you are in an organisation where there is a formal mentoring program, and you have an opportunity to participate in it, you will be assigned a partner or you may have some choice of partner with whom to work within the confines of the program. If a formal program is not possible within your organisation, and you wish to be mentored or become a mentor, then what steps might be useful to follow?

- Identify the type of mentoring relationship you are seeking (i.e. peer mentoring, group mentoring, e-mentoring, or sponsorship mentoring).
- Identify the type of person with whom you would like to work.
- Look around your networks and ask others for advice about who might be suitable to approach.
- If you know this person, it is likely that it will be easier to initiate the relationship than if you don't know them.
- Make contact and make sure you explain what it is you are seeking, what you can offer, and what you have in mind. If the other person is interested in working with you, make sure he or she is able to discuss his/her expectations and ideas about the relationship and how it will work.
- Make a plan to communicate again.

12. References

- Clawson, J. G. & Kram, K. (1984). Managing cross gender mentoring. *Business Horizons*, 27(3), pp. 22–32.
- Clutterbuck, D. (2003). Diversity issues in the mentoring relationship. In M. J. Davidson & S. L. Fielden (Eds.), *Individual diversity and psychology in organizations* (pp. 253–264). Wiley: West Sussex.
- Clutterbuck, D. (2004a). *Everyone needs a mentor: Fostering talent in your organisation* (4th Ed.). Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development: London.
- Clutterbuck, D. (2004b, March). *Formal v informal mentoring presentation*. Paper presented at the Mentoring Connection National Conference, Toronto Marriott Eaton Centre, Canada.
- Clutterbuck, D. (2007). An international perspective on mentoring. In B. R. Ragins & K. E. Kram (Eds.), *The handbook of mentoring at work: Theory, research and practice* (pp. 633–655). Sage: Los Angeles.
- Clutterbuck and Associates (2005). *Formal v informal mentoring: Time to shift the debate?* Retrieved from <http://www.scottishmentoringnetwork.co.uk/assets/downloads/resources/formal-v-informal-mentoring.pdf>
- Clutterbuck, D. & Megginson, D. (2005). *Making coaching work: Creating a coaching culture*. Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development: London.
- Cohen, N. (1999). *The mentee's guide to mentoring*. HRD Press: Canada.
- Daloz, L. (1986). *Effective teaching and mentoring: Realizing the transformational power of adult learning experiences*. Jossey Bass: San Francisco.
- Daloz, L. A. (2012). *Mentor: Guiding the journey of adult learners*. Wiley: New York.
- Ehrich, L.C. (2008). Mentoring and women managers: Another look at the field. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, 23(7), pp. 469–483. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/17129/>
- Ehrich, L. C. & Hansford, B. C. (1999). Mentoring: Pros and cons for HRM. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 37(3), 92–107. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/archive/00001754/>
- Ehrich, L. C. & Hansford, B.C. (2008). Mentoring in the public sector. *Practical Experiences in Professional Education*, 11(1), pp. 1–58. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/17133/1/17133.pdf>
- Ehrich, L. C., Hansford, B. C. & Tennent, L. (2001). Closing the divide: Theory and practice in mentoring. *Proceedings ANZAM 2001 Conference*, Auckland, New Zealand.
- Ensher, E. A. & Murphy, S. E. (2005). *Power mentoring: How mentors and protégés get the most out of their relationship*. Jossey Bass: San Francisco.
- Ensher, E. A. & Murphy, S. E. (2007). E-mentoring: Next generation research strategies and suggestions. In B. R. Ragins & K. Kram (Eds.), *The handbook of mentoring at work: Theory, research and practice* (pp. 299–322). Sage: Thousand Oaks, Ca.
- Fletcher, S. J. (2012). Fostering the use of web-based technology in mentoring and coaching. In S. J. Fletcher & C. A. Mullen (Eds.), *Sage handbook of mentoring and coaching in education* (pp. 74–88). Sage: London.
- Fletcher, S. J. & Mullen, C. A. (Eds.). (2012). *Sage handbook of mentoring and coaching in education*. Sage: London.
- Hansford, B. C., Tennent, L. & Ehrich, L. C. (2003). Educational mentoring: Is it worth the effort? *Education Research and Perspectives*, 39(1), pp. 42–75. <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/archive/00002259/>
- Hargrove, R. (2003). *Masterful coaching*. Revised edition. Jossey-Bass/Pfeiffer: A Wiley imprint.

Section 12

- Harvard Business Essentials. (2004). *Coaching and mentoring*. Harvard Business School Press: Boston.
- Headlam-Wells, J., Gosland, J. and Craig, J. (2005). 'There's magic in the web': E-mentoring for women's career development. *Career Development International*, 10(6/7), pp. 444–459. Retrieved from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/journals.htm?articleid=1524127>
- Hermesen, J. M., Litt, J. S., Hart, J. & Tucker, S. A. (2011). Mentoring women faculty. In B. A. Bank (Ed.), *Gender & higher education* (pp. 344–350). The John Hopkins University Press: Baltimore.
- Homer (1992). *The odyssey*. (T.E. Shaw, Trans.). Wordsworth Classics: Great Britain.
- Johnson, W. B. & Huwe, J. (2003). *Getting mentored in graduate school*. American Psychological Association: Washington, DC.
- Kanter, R. M. (1977). *Men and women of the corporation*. Basic Books: New York.
- Kram, K. E. (1985). *Mentoring at work: Developmental relationships in organizational life*. Scott, Foresman: Glenview, Ill.
- Levinson, D. J., Darrow, C. N., Klein, G. B., Levinson, M. H. & McKee, B. (1978). *The seasons of a man's life*. Ballantine: New York.
- Long, J. (1997). The dark side of mentoring. *Australian Educational Research*, 24(2), pp. 115–123.
- McManus, S. E. & Russell, J. E. (2007) Peer mentoring relationships. In B. R. Ragins & K. Kram (Eds.) *The handbook of mentoring at work: Theory, research and practice* (pp. 273–297). Sage: Los Angeles.
- Missirian, A. (1982). *The corporate connection: Why executive women need mentors to reach the top*. Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Mullen C. A. & Schunk, D.H. (2012). Operationalizing phases of mentoring relationships. In S. J. Fletcher & C. A. Mullen (Eds.), *Sage handbook of mentoring and coaching in education* (pp. 89–104). Sage Publications: London.
- Queensland Government (2010). *Code of conduct for the Queensland Public Service*. 1 January 2011. State of Queensland: Brisbane, Qld. Retrieved from <http://www.psc.qld.gov.au/library/document/catalogue/equity-ethics-grievance/qps-code-conduct.pdf>
- Queensland Government (2012). *Department of Education, Training and Employment Induction Strategy*. Queensland Government: Brisbane. Retrieved from <http://education.qld.gov.au/staff/development/pdfs/dete-induction-strategy.pdf>
- Rolfe-Flett, A. (2002). *Mentoring in Australia*. Pearsons Australia Pty Ltd: Frenchs Forest.
- Stanfield, R. B. (2000). *The art of focused conversation: 100 ways to access group wisdom in the workplace*. Canadian Institute for Cultural Affairs: Canada.
- Tovey, M. (1999). *Mentoring in the workplace: A guide for mentors and managers*. Prentice Hall: Erskineville, NSW.
- Whitmore, J. (2002). *Coaching for performance*. Brealey Publishing: London.
- Whitmore, J. (2009). *Coaching for performance* (4th Ed.). Brealey Publishing: London.
- Zeus, P. & Skiffington, S. (2002). *The coaching at work toolkit: A complete guide to techniques and practices*. McGraw-Hill.

13. APPENDICES: Checklists for mentors

- A. Mentor pre-meeting 1 checklist
- B. Mentor meeting 1 checklist
- C. Mentor post-meeting 1 reflection template
- D. Mentor pre-meeting 2 checklist
- E. Mentor meeting 2 checklist
- F. Mentor post-meeting 2 reflection template
- G. Sample mentor agreements

Appendix A: Mentor pre-meeting 1 checklist

Purpose:

Help you plan and prepare requirements to facilitate a conversation with a mentee

I have:

- invited* my mentee to the meeting
- arranged a time and place* (e.g. a quiet area for discussion)
- decided* how 'formal' the relationship is going to be. (Decide whether you will use a mentor agreement contract (see Appendix G) or whether you will agree verbally to a number of key aspects governing the relationship. This can also be discussed in the first meeting.)
- considered* carefully my role and responsibilities (refer Section 9 *Role of mentor and mentee*)
- clarified* my expectations and what I hope to achieve from the mentoring relationship

My goals for this mentoring relationship

My expectations of myself for this mentoring relationship

Expectations I have of the mentee for this mentoring relationship

- Some 'get to know you questions' to develop the relationship (if you already know the person, you may not need too many of these)

Section 13

Referred to Questioning: A key mentoring technique [Section 9] and planned some questions based on the four levels of questions advocated by Stanfield (2000). These are:

- objective questions (e.g. Tell me about yourself)

- reflective questions

- interpretive questions

- decisional questions

Appendix B: Mentor meeting 1 checklist

Purpose:

- Get to know your mentee
- Share ideas about roles, responsibilities and expectations, and come to agreement about these aspects of the mentoring relationship
- Use Stanfield's four levels of questioning (objective, reflective, interpretive, decisional) in posing questions to your mentee

During the meeting I have:

- welcomed* and thanked my mentee for attending the meeting
- reinforced* the discussion will be kept confidential
- introduced* ourselves and got to know each other (e.g. *Can you tell me a little bit about yourself? – objective question*)
- clarified* the purpose of the meeting:
 - to establish a mentoring relationship
 - to discuss what we see as our roles, responsibilities and expectations
 - to determine whether we use a mentoring agreement to formalise the relationship
- included* some key questions based on all four levels such as:
 - Based on what I have said about my roles and responsibilities, what is your response to that? (reflective question)
 - Can you tell me what you see as your roles and responsibilities? (reflective question)
 - What do you hope to get out of the mentoring relationship? (interpretive question)
 - How can I best support you and your learning? (objective, reflective question)
- closed* the meeting
- reflected* on the meeting and asked my mentee to provide some feedback on the session:
 - What worked well in this session?
 - Can you comment on my questioning and listening skills?
 - What do you think I could have done differently that would have made the conversation more beneficial to you?
 - Any other comments?
- set* a time and date for the next session and asked my mentee to identify a topic/focus for the next conversation

Make sure you complete the Mentor post-meeting 1 reflection template

Appendix C: Mentor post-meeting 1 reflection template

Now that you have conducted your first conversation with your mentee, reflect on that conversation and answer the following questions. To help you respond to these questions, consider the following points when formulating your ideas:

- your communication skills
- the dynamics of the relationship
- the role you played
- your mentee's interest and comments
- any other impressions.

What worked well?

What needed improvement?

What comments did your mentee provide regarding your performance? How can you use this feedback to improve next time?

What do you need to work on to enhance your mentoring skills?

Appendix D: Mentor pre-meeting 2 checklist

Purpose:

- Use Stanfield's four levels of questioning- (objective, reflective, interpretive, decisional) in posing questions to your mentee

I have:

- checked* the meeting time and place
- prepared* questions using Stanfield's four levels of questioning (Module 9) - (objective, reflective, interpretive, and decisional) in posing questions to my mentee for the following:

Opening
Objective questions
Reflective questions
Interpretive questions
Decisional questions
Closing

Appendix E: Mentor meeting 2 checklist

Purpose:

- Facilitate a learning-rich conversation where your mentee does most of the talking
- Use Stanfield's four levels of questioning (Objective, Reflective, Interpretive, and Decisional) in posing questions to your mentee.

During the meeting I have:

- welcomed* and thanked my mentee for attending the meeting
- clarified* the main purpose of the meeting:
- encouraged* my mentee to begin the conversation by introducing the focus for the conversation. While the mentee is talking about the issue, I devise questions from Stanfield's four levels. Some examples from each of the four levels are below, and they may provide some guidance

Objective level — questions based on facts and seen as 'what' questions:

- Where are you up to?
- What work have you done since I saw you last?
- With whom have you been working?
- What have you achieved since we talked last?
- What are your observations?
- What words or phrases stood out for you?

Reflective level — questions that invite personal reaction to the data/facts presented:

- What has been the response of others to the work you have done?
- What have you enjoyed doing the most?
- What have you enjoyed doing the least?
- How are you feeling about things now?
- What surprised you?
- What's missing for you?
- What were you reminded of?

Interpretive level — questions that draw out meanings, values, beliefs and the significance of the issue:

- What have you learned about yourself, others, so far?
- What are some issues you continue to worry about? Why?
- What alternatives are you thinking about for dealing with some of the ongoing challenges?
- What makes *x* important?
- What implications are there for you?
- What might be the impact of ...?

Section 13

Decisional level – questions that elicit resolution, new directions and actions:

- What are you going to do next?
- What is your plan and how are you going to achieve it?
- Can I help and if so how?
- Are things moving in the right direction for you?
- What recommendations do you have?
- What can you do differently in the future?
- What have you learned from this?

closed the meeting

reflected on the meeting and asked my mentee to provide some feedback on the session:

- What worked well in this session?
- Comment on my questioning and listening skills.
- What do you think I could have done differently that would have made the conversation more beneficial to you?
- Any other comments?

set a time and date for the next session and ask your mentee to identify a topic for the next conversation.

Make sure you complete the Mentor post-meeting 2 reflection template

Appendix F: Mentor post-meeting 2 reflection template

Now that you have conducted your second conversation with your mentee, reflect on that conversation and answer the following questions. To help you respond to these questions, consider the following points when formulating your ideas:

- your communication skills
- the role you played
- who did most of the talking
- who had the power
- the dynamics of the relationship.

What worked well and why?

What needed improvement and why?

What comments did your mentee provide regarding your performance? How can you use this feedback to improve next time?

What do you need to work on to enhance your mentoring skills?

What strategies are you going to use to help you enhance your skills?

Appendix G: Sample mentor agreements

Example 1: Template for mentoring agreement

Mentor name: _____	Mentee name: _____
<h3>Our mentoring agreement</h3> <p>By developing and signing this agreement together, we agree on ground rules which we believe will support the relationship. As we spend time together, we will both try to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • meet at least once per _____, for at least _____ (amount of time each session) <p>Commencement date: _____</p> <p>Finish the mentoring relationship by: (date) _____</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • select meeting places that allow us to talk deeply • call or email ahead — giving at least 24 hours notice if possible — if we have to cancel or reschedule • come to our meetings prepared. If we've agreed to do some assignment between meetings, have it completed • if we have a problem or something doesn't feel right to one of us, we'll talk about it — even if it isn't easy. We won't avoid facing a problem. We'll deal with it together • keep what is said between us — maintain confidentiality • work on our shared goals for this mentorship • recognise that we are two different people, and that is a good thing. We can learn from each other if we respect and value each other and the ways we're alike and the ways we're different • make sure we get things done and remember to laugh. <p>Signature of mentee: _____ Date: _____</p> <p>Signature of mentor: _____ Date: _____</p> <p><small>Adapted from a wiki taken from TAFE NSW (n.d.). <i>Our Mentoring Agreement</i>. wiki.tafensw.edu.au/sydney/mylearning/.../Our_Mentoring_Agreement.doc</small></p>	

Example 2: Template for mentoring agreement

MENTORING AGREEMENT

We are voluntarily entering into a mutually beneficial relationship. It is intended this relationship will be a rewarding experience, and that our time together will be spent in personal and professional development activities. Features of our mentoring relationship will include:

Commencement date _____

Duration of the mentoring program _____

Likely frequency of meetings _____

Maximum length of each meeting _____

Mentoring activities

We have discussed the basic principles underlying our mentoring relationship as a developmental opportunity. We agree to a no-fault conclusion of this relationship if necessary.

Mentee _____ Mentor _____

Date _____ Date _____

Taken from NSW Government Publication (2004). *Mentoring made easy: A practical guide* (3rd ed.), Equal Employment, Equity and Diversity Public Employment Office, NSW. Retrieved from http://www.dpc.nsw.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0016/19330/Mentoring_Made_Easy_A_Practical_Guide_third_edition.pdf

14. Annotated bibliography: Mentoring

Articles

Boon, S. L. Z. (1998). *Principalship mentoring in Singapore: Who and what benefits?* *Journal of Educational Administration*, 36(1), pp. 29–43. Retrieved from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/Insight/ViewContentServlet?Filename=Published/EmeraldFullTextArticle/Articles/0740360102.html>

For over 20 years, the NIE at the Nanyang Technological University in Singapore has provided a one-year Diploma in Educational Administration program for aspiring principals (i.e. vice principals). A key part of this Diploma is a mentoring component, whereby aspirants are matched with experienced mentor principals. This article reports on the benefits mentoring provided to both mentors and protégés. The author suggests that behaviours and personal qualities of mentors and protégés seem to determine the benefits of mentoring.

Butcher, J. & Prest, M. (1999). *Reflecting upon the present and planning for the future: a collegial mentoring initiative.* Paper presented at the AARE – NZARE Conference, Melbourne, Victoria. Retrieved from <http://www.aare.edu.au/99pap/but99439.htm>

In this paper, Butcher and Prest present the history of a collegial mentoring relationship between a school principal and a head of a school at a university. It shows how this across-sector collegial or mutual mentoring has been successful in assisting the participants in learning from their everyday experiences while also planning for the future.

Douglas, C. (1997). *Formal mentoring programs in organizations: An annotated bibliography.* Center for Creative Leadership: Greensboro, NC. Retrieved from

<http://www.centerforcreativeleadership.com/leadership/pdf/research/FormalMentoringPrograms.pdf>

Although this document is a bit dated, the information it provides on formal mentoring programs is very comprehensive, and would be most relevant for people wishing to learn more about formal mentoring programs and how to establish them. The document consists of four key parts. Part 1 provides a review of 80 works on formal programs taken from the literature. Part 2 provides a brief review of the perspectives underpinning formal mentoring programs. Part 3 provides a discussion of the objectives, content, and benefits and drawbacks of formal mentoring programs. Finally, Part 4 provides practical advice for people who wish to set up these programs or improve on existing programs.

Ehrich, L.C. & Hansford, B.C. (2008). *Mentoring in the public sector. Practical Experiences in Professional Education*, 11(1), pp. 1–58. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/17133/1/17133.pdf>

In this article, Ehrich and Hansford examine 25 research-based papers published between 1991 and 2006 that report the outcomes of formalised mentoring programs for public sector workers. A structured review of the literature was used to reveal the focus of the programs, as well as the positive and negative outcomes of mentoring for the parties concerned. The findings revealed that the majority of programs reported on outcomes for leaders. More positive outcomes than negative outcomes were attributed to mentoring. Commonly cited positive outcomes included improved skills or knowledge and increased confidence; and negative outcomes included lack of time and lack of mentor training and understanding.

Ehrich, L.C. & Hansford, B.C. (1999). *Mentoring: Pros and cons for HRM. Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 37(3), pp. 92–107. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/archive/00001754/>

The authors explore what is meant by mentoring; the functions of mentors; three categories of mentorship; and the benefits and hazards for the mentor, mentee and organisation. The final part of the paper discusses the implications of setting up a formal mentoring program for human resource managers.

Hansford, B.C. & Ehrich, L.C. (2006). The principalship: How significant is mentoring? *Journal of Educational Administration*, 44(1), pp. 36–52. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/archive/00004343/>

This article begins with an overview of the training and preparation of principals, and then refers to mentoring as a well-known strategy for leadership development. The focus of the article lies with the reporting of a structured review whereby 40 research-based papers on formal mentoring programs for principals were analysed to determine the positive and negative outcomes of mentoring for the mentee and mentor. The article concludes with implications for practice.

Hansford, B.C., Ehrich, L.C. & Tennent, L. (2004). Formal mentoring programs in education and other professions: A review of the literature. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 40(4), pp. 518–540. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/archive/00002258/>

This article presents a review of research-based papers from education, business and medical contexts to illustrate some of the key outcomes of mentoring programs for mentors and mentees across these contexts.

Hansford, B.C., Tennent, L. & Ehrich, L.C. (2003) Educational mentoring: Is it worth the effort? *Education Research and Perspectives*, 39(1), pp. 42–75. <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/archive/00002259/>

This article reviews 159 research-based articles that relate to educational mentoring. Using a structured review, it identifies the benefits and negative outcomes of mentoring programs for mentors, mentees and the educational organisation. Although there was found to be a higher incidence of positive outcomes associated with mentoring programs, sufficient evidence suggested that a ‘dark side’ of mentoring exists. While positive and negative impacts of mentoring on mentors and mentees were noted, impacts on the organisation (frequently schools) were rarely addressed. In many cases, where mentoring programs were reported to have negative outcomes, program success appeared to have been jeopardised by lack of funding, lack of time, or poor matching of mentors and mentees.

Healy, L., Ehrich, L.C., Hansford, B.C. & Stewart, D. (2001) Conversations: A means of learning, growth and change, *Journal of Educational Administration*, 39(4), 332–345. Retrieved from <http://eprints.qut.edu.au/archive/00004342/>

The research reported in this article formed part of a university–industry collaborative grant in which the role of leaders in managing cultural change across an industry site was investigated. The focus of the article concerns a District Director in a rural setting in Queensland. The study was shaped by her interest in gaining feedback on her leadership style and influence on principals in the district. A team of researchers from QUT conducted semi-structured interviews with a sample of six principals with whom the District Director worked over a period of one year to gauge their perceptions of her influence on their thinking and acting. A key finding was that well-led conversations can be an effective professional development strategy for learning, growth and change in educational leaders.

Herrington, A., Rowland, G., Herrington, J. & Hearne, D. (2006). The BEST approaches to online mentoring. In P. Jeffrey (Ed.), *2006 Proceedings of the Australian Association for Research in Education (AARE) International Educational Research conference* (pp. 1–11). Australian Association for Research in Education: Adelaide. Retrieved from <http://www.aare.edu.au/06pap/her06680.pdf>

The beginning year of teaching is an important year in the life of a teacher, and one in which a teacher would benefit by mentoring. The author of this paper describes the design and development of a generic website template for online communities of practice for beginning teachers that provides them with independent and experienced mentoring support. It includes a rich range of resources that are automatically updated, and links to professional websites and other relevant sources of support. The paper illustrates and compares the ways in which the online resource can be used as a model to meet the professional needs of different cohorts of beginning and experienced practitioners, using different models of mentoring suited to various levels of funding and professional support.

Books and book chapters

Clutterbuck, D. (2004), *Everyone needs a mentor: Fostering talent in your organisation* (4th Ed.). Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development: London.

David Clutterbuck, one Europe's most well-respected and well-known management writers and thinkers, has written over 40 books on management, and in recent years is most well-known for his work in coaching and mentoring. Clutterbuck makes a strong case for everyone within an organisation to be mentored. The book is divided into four main parts.

- Part 1 provides a good background discussion — understanding what mentoring is and why it is important.
- Part 2 examines models and methods of mentoring, the nature of formal mentoring programs, making the case for mentoring, what makes an effective mentor, matching mentors and mentees, and how to set up mentoring programs.
- Part 3 is concerned with mentoring programs and relationships.
- Part 4 reports on specific mentor issues, such as e-mentoring and diversity mentoring.

The book is easy to read and provides clear, accessible and practical advice for mentors, mentees and those charged with designing formal mentoring programs.

Clutterbuck, D. & Megginson, D. (2005). *Making coaching work: Creating a coaching culture*. Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development: London.

The authors focus on the strategies and techniques that are required to create a coaching culture, describing a coaching culture as 'style of managing and working together, and where a commitment to grow the organisation is embedded in a parallel commitment to grow the people in the organisation' (p. 19). A rationale is made for building a coaching culture for individuals, teams, and the organisation at large. This practical book provides a discussion of models and frameworks, outlines the skills base required of various parties, discusses coaching from a system's perspective, and provides a measure that organisations can use to assess the quality of coaching within teams and between individuals. A significant portion of this book comprises case studies.

Cohen, N. H. (1995). *Mentoring adult learners: A guide for educators and trainers*. Krieger Publishing Company: Malabar, Florida.

This book provides practical guidance and advice to those who are responsible for mentoring others so that they are able to function effectively as significant influences within mentoring relationships. Much of the book focuses on different aspects of the mentoring function (e.g. a focus on the relationship, information emphasis, and facilitative focus), and provides practical advice and strategies for those who find themselves in the role of mentoring others. An important aspect of the book, an Adult Mentoring Scale, allows mentors to determine their competencies. Instructions for scoring and interpreting the scale are provided.

Fletcher, S. J. & Mullen, C. A. (2012). *Sage handbook of mentoring and coaching in education*. Sage: Los Angeles.

This handbook is a leading source of ideas and information on mentoring. It covers international research on mentoring in schools and higher education. It maps current knowledge and understandings, values and skills underpinning educational mentoring and coaching for learning. Contributors address social justice issues, such as those involving traditional and technical forms of mentoring and coaching, democratic and accountability agendas, and institutional and historical patterns of learning.

Section 14

Hargrove, R. (2003). *Masterful coaching* (Rev. Ed.). Jossey-Bass/Pfeiffer: A Wiley imprint.

As the title suggests, this book answers the question: ‘What is masterful coaching?’ According to Hargrove, a masterful coach is a person who is able to empower others to enable them to think and take control of their lives. Masterful coaches make much of the conversations that take place between themselves and the person they are coaching. A very practical book that sets out clearly the methods required when coaching others.

Lambert, L. (2002). *Leading the conversations*, In L. Lambert, D. Walker, D. P. Zimmerman, J. E. Cooper, M. D. Lambert, M. E. Gardner & M. Szabo. (2002). (Eds.), *The constructivist leader* (2nd Ed., pp. 63–88). Teachers College Columbia University: New York.

Lambert argues that one of the main roles of a constructivist leader is to lead conversations. Constructivist leadership is understood as ‘the reciprocal processes that enable participants in an educational community to construct meanings that lead toward a common purpose about schooling’ (Greene, foreword, in Lambert et al. 2002, p. viii). Lambert focuses on explaining a typology of conversations, and practical examples are used to highlight each of these key types. The author concludes that leading conversations is the work of everyone within a school community.

Rolfe-Flett, A. (2002). *Mentoring in Australia: A practical guide*. Pearson Education Australia: Frenchs Forest, NSW.

This book provides a very practical look at how to establish a mentoring program within an organisation. It outlines ways in which to research the needs of the organisation; gain the support of the organisation; write a mentoring brief to management; promote a mentoring program; locate suitable mentors and select mentees; conduct training; and evaluate a mentoring program.

Stephens, P. (1996). *Essential mentoring skills: A practical handbook for school-based teachers*. Nelson Thomes Ltd: Cheltenham.

This excerpt is from an e-book by Stephens. It contains some practical insights into how to mentor and how to be an effective mentor.

http://books.google.com.au/books?id=n9Cz4sFL1voC&printsec=frontcover&dq=%22mentoring+skills&source=bl&ots=uqm1o9Q45L&sig=457-WO6DOGvO6Secklf2GA3puLY&hl=en&ei=OeFDTNvcLITRcYrt1MoP&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=4&ved=0CCKQ6AEwAzgK#v=onepage&q&f=false

Tovey, M. D. (1999). *Mentoring in the workplace: A guide for mentors and managers*. Prentice Hall: Erskineville, NSW.

This practical and easy-to-read book explores mentoring in the workplace. It identifies the features of successful mentoring programs, explores how individuals learn, and sets out key matters for planners of mentoring programs (e.g. matching, roles and responsibilities of both parties, and how to set up formal mentoring programs). This book would be very useful for anyone interested in setting up a formal mentoring program within their particular setting.

Section 14

Websites

Coaching & mentoring network (articles)

<http://www.coachingnetwork.org.uk/ResourceCentre/Articles/default.asp>

The Coaching & Mentoring Network was established to provide a service for people who provide coaching or mentoring services, and for those seeking them. This site provides over 60 short articles on mentoring and coaching, many with practical tips and ideas, as well as other resources and materials.

Mellish and Associates (2002) Appreciative Inquiry On-line

<http://www.mellish.com.au/resources.htm>

Mellish and Associates are management consultants who provide key service areas in management consultancy, training and development, and projects and publications. Their site includes a set of online appreciative inquiry modules for schools and government/large organisations. Appreciative inquiry has been described as a positive and practical approach to organisational development based on four key principles – appreciate, apply, provoke and collaborate. The online appreciative inquiry toolkit is designed to provide support in four different areas:

1. appreciative leadership (for professional leadership and development)
2. appreciative inquiry (for mentoring, coaching, giving and receiving feedback, and performance planning and review)
3. group appreciative inquiry (for team planning and managing change)
4. whole-system appreciative inquiry (for organisational renewal and strategic planning).

Professional Standards for School Leaders, Department of Education & Training through the Leadership Centre, Murdoch University and Edith Cowan University (WA)

<http://apps.det.wa.edu.au/pssl/index.php>

- Reports on a collaborative research project conducted between the Department of Education and Training through the Leadership Centre, Murdoch University and Edith Cowan University (WA).
- Provides an effective means for helping leaders learn about the standards on which the Leadership Framework (i.e. Western Australia's Department of Education and Training official policy document) is based.
- Grounded in practitioners' work and recognised and owned by the profession – provides a useful model for leaders to review their role and practice; assists leaders to design ongoing professional development.
- Consists of a set of 56 short case stories:
 - each describing a specific episode a school leader has dealt with at a school
 - each classified against a set of eight attributes considered by school leaders to be most important to performing at a high level: fair, supportive, collaborative, decisive, flexible, tactful, innovative and persistent
 - searchable by attribute, context or competency.

Scottish Mentoring Network

The Scottish Mentoring Network is a very valuable website that provides useful readings and articles on mentoring and coaching within its Resources Library. The articles tend to be short and practically focused, and provide insightful information on all aspects of mentoring and how to run a mentoring program.

<http://scottishmentoringnetwork.co.uk/scottish-mentoring-network-aims-objectives.php>

